

FEB 27 2018

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**  
for the

Western District of Virginia



JULIA C. DUDLEY, CLERK  
BY:  
DEPUTY CLERK

United States of America

v.

ANDREW J. DANZELL

Date of Previous Judgment: 06/19/2006

(Use Date of Last Amended Judgment if Applicable)

- )
- )
- ) Case No: 7:05CR00024-001
- ) USM No: 11052-084
- ) Randy V. Cargill, Esq.
- ) Defendant's Attorney

**Order Regarding Motion for Sentence Reduction Pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 3582(c)(2)**

Upon motion of  the defendant  the Director of the Bureau of Prisons  the court under 18 U.S.C. § 3582(c)(2) for a reduction in the term of imprisonment imposed based on a guideline sentencing range that has subsequently been lowered and made retroactive by the United States Sentencing Commission pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 994(u), and having considered such motion,

**IT IS ORDERED** that the motion is:

DENIED.  GRANTED and the defendant's previously imposed sentence of imprisonment (as reflected in the last judgment issued) of \_\_\_\_\_ months **is reduced to** \_\_\_\_\_.

**I. COURT DETERMINATION OF GUIDELINE RANGE** (Prior to Any Departures)

|                            |   |                            |   |
|----------------------------|---|----------------------------|---|
| Previous Offense Level:    | <u>                                </u>   | Amended Offense Level:     | <u>                                </u>   |
| Criminal History Category: | <u>                                </u>   | Criminal History Category: | <u>                                </u>   |
| Previous Guideline Range:  | <u>                                </u> to <u>                                </u> months | Amended Guideline Range:   | <u>                                </u> to <u>                                </u> months |

**II. SENTENCE RELATIVE TO AMENDED GUIDELINE RANGE**

- The reduced sentence is within the amended guideline range.
- The previous term of imprisonment imposed was less than the guideline range applicable to the defendant at the time of sentencing as a result of a departure or Rule 35 reduction, and the reduced sentence is comparably less than the amended guideline range.
- Other (explain): \_\_\_\_\_

**III. ADDITIONAL COMMENTS**

For the reasons stated in the accompanying memorandum opinion, the defendant is not eligible for a sentence reduction.

Except as provided above, all provisions of the judgment dated 06/19/2006 shall remain in effect.

**IT IS SO ORDERED.**

Order Date: FEBRUARY 27, 2018



Judge's signature

Effective Date: \_\_\_\_\_  
(if different from order date)

Hon. Glen E. Conrad, Senior United States District Judge

Printed name and title